UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

PB LIFE AND ANNUITY CO., LTD., et al.,

Debtors in Foreign Proceedings

UNIVERSAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GREG E. LINDBERG, individually, CHRIS HERWIG, individually, AAPC HOLDINGS, LLC, ACADEMY FINANCIAL ASSETS, LLC, AGH PARENT, LLC, ALPINE CAPITAL, LLC, ASIM HOLDINGS, LLC, ASL HOLDINGS, LLC, ATKINSON, LLC, ATLANTIC COAST LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, ATLAS FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS, LLC, AUGUSTA ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., BEGONIA EIGHT, LLC, BLH CAPITAL, LLC, BLUE DAFFODIL, LLC, BLUE VIOLET, LLC, CAPITAL ASSETS FUND I, LLC, CAPITAL ASSETS FUND II, LLC, CAPITAL ASSET MANAGEMENT I, LLC. CAPITAL ASSETS MANAGEMENT II, LLC, CAPITAL ASSETS MANAGEMENT III, LLC, CARNATION THREE, LLC, CHATSWORTH ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, CHRYSANTHEMUM TWO, LLC, CSI INTERCO, LLC, DAHLIA TEN, LLC, DAISY SEVEN, LLC, DRUMMOND GROUP, LLC, DUNHILL HOLDINGS, LLC, ELI GLOBAL. LLC, ENGLERT HOLDINGS, LLC, EPPING, LLC, ERIE PROPERTIES, LLC, FLAGSHIP HOLDINGS, LLC, FLEET ASSIST INTERCO LIMITED, FLOWERY BRANCH, LLC, FORSYTH, LLC, FORTREX, LLC, GBIG CAPITAL, LLC, GBIG HOLDINGS, INC., GBI GROUP, LLC, GERANIUM TWO, LLC, GILFORD ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, GLOBAL BANKERS INSURANCE GROUP, LLC, GLOBAL GROWTH HOLDINGS, INC., GLOBAL INSURANCE CAPITAL, LLC,

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 6/23/2022

22 Civ. 5122 (AT) (BCM)

ORDER

GREENFIELD CAPITAL, LLC, HAMPTON ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., HANSEN AEROSPACE, LLC, HEALTHLINK HOLDINGS, LLC, HOOKSETT, LLC, HUTCHISON LAW GROUP, PLLC, INTEGRITY EMR HOLDINGS, LLC, INTEGRITY EMR, LLC, IRON CITY ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., ITECH FUNDING, LLC, JACKSON ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., KITE ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., LILAC SIX, LLC, MACON, LLC, MORNING MOUNTAIN HOLDINGS, LLC, NETHERLANDS INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC., NEW ENGLAND CAPITAL, LLC, NIH CAPITAL, LLC, NOM GB 2018 I, LLC, PARADIGM PARK HOLDINGS, LLC, PARALLEL CAPITAL ASSETS, LLC, PERSHING, LLC, RED BEGONIA, LLC, REVOLVERCAP PARTNERS FUND, LP, SATORI WATERS, LLC, SEDWICK, LLC, SENTINEL SECURITY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, SOMERSWORTH, LLC, STANDARD ADVISORY SERVICES, LTD, STANDARD FINANCIAL LIMITED, STANDARD INVESTMENT CAPITAL, LTD, TREATMENT RESOURCES OF MARGATE, INC., TRITON FINANCIAL LIMITED, TUX HOLDINGS, LLC, TYBEE ISLAND ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, UKAT HOLDINGS, LLC. UKAT INVESTMENT LIMITED, VERDESIAN LIFE SCIENCES, LLC, WEARE, LLC, YELLOW LOTUS, LLC, YELLOW SUNFLOWER, LLC, UBS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., GOLDMAN SACHS LENDING PARTNERS, LLC, CREDIT SUISSE AG CAYMAN ISLANDS BRANCH, GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA, MORGAN STANLEY SENIOR FUNDING, INC., THE BRYN MAWR TRUST COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

To conserve resources, to promote judicial efficiency, and in an effort to achieve a faster disposition of this matter, it is hereby ORDERED that the parties discuss whether they are willing to consent, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to conducting all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge.

If all parties consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge, counsel for Defendants shall, by

August 16, 2022, file a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge form, available at https://nysd.uscourts.gov/node/754 and attached to this order, on the docket. If the Court approves that form, all further proceedings will then be conducted before the assigned Magistrate Judge rather than before me. An information sheet on proceedings before magistrate judges is also attached to this order. Any appeal would be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, as it would be if the consent form were not signed and so ordered.

If any party does not consent to conducting all further proceedings before the assigned Magistrate Judge, the parties must file a joint letter, by **August 16, 2022**, advising the Court that the parties do not consent, **but without disclosing the identity of the party or parties who do not consent.** The parties are free to withhold consent without negative consequences.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 23, 2022

New York, New York

ANALISA TORRES United States District Judge AO 85 (Rev. 01/09) Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge

UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	for the	
	District of	
Plaintiff V. Defendant)))) Civil Action No.	
Notice of a magistrate judge's availabili proceedings in this civil action (including a jury of then be appealed directly to the United States cou exercise this authority only if all parties volunta	nt of appeals like any other judgment of this cour urily consent. red to a magistrate judge, or you may withhold yo	at is available to conduct all dgment. The judgment may t. A magistrate judge may our consent without adverse
be involved with your case.	ity. The following parties consent to have a Uni	ted States magistrate judge
Parties' printed names	Signatures of parties or attorneys	Dates
	Reference Order	
IT IS ORDERED: This case is referre order the entry of a final judgment in accordance	ed to a United States magistrate judge to conducte with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 7	
Date:	District Judge's sig	nature
Note: Return this form to the clerk of court or	Printed name and	

magistrate judge. Do not return this form to a judge.



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGES: REFERRALS AND CONSENTS

All cases in the Southern District of New York are assigned to two judges: a district judge and a magistrate judge. District judges are appointed for life terms by the President. Magistrate judges are selected by a majority vote of the district judges in the particular district and serve terms of eight years.

Referrals to the Magistrate Judge. The district judge assigned to your case may refer the case to a magistrate judge for specific purposes. Commonly, the referral will be for the magistrate judge to conduct the proceedings that occur before trial, such as resolving discovery disputes or presiding over settlement conferences. A referral may also be made for the magistrate judge to issue to the district judge a report and recommendation on how to resolve a motion, such as a motion to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment. The consent of the parties is not needed for the district judge to refer the case to the magistrate judge for these purposes. If the district judge has made such a referral, you can ask the district judge to review any magistrate judge's decision by filing an objection with the district judge within fourteen days of that decision. The district judge will rule on any timely objections that you file. If you do not file an objection, you will give up your right to challenge the magistrate judge's decision at a later time, including on appeal. See Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Consent to Proceed Before the Magistrate Judge. If you would like your case to move more quickly, it is helpful to consent to proceed before the magistrate judge for all purposes, including any trial. If you consent, the magistrate judge will perform the identical function that the district judge would have performed. Any trial in your case would be either a jury or a nonjury trial, depending upon whether there is a right to a jury trial and a proper request for such a trial. The only difference is that the magistrate judge – and not the district judge – would preside over that trial. Cases that proceed for all purposes before a magistrate judge generally move more quickly than cases before a district judge. If you consent to proceed before the magistrate judge, the district judge plays no further role in the case. Any appeal is taken directly to the Court of Appeals. It is your choice whether or not to consent to proceed before the magistrate judge, and all parties must consent or the case will not proceed before the magistrate judge.

A copy of the appropriate consent form is attached. Additional forms are also available from the Pro Se Intake Unit and on the Court's website.

500 Pearl Street | New York, NY 10007 300 Quarropas Street | White Plains, NY 10601

PRO SE INTAKE UNIT: 212-805-0175

Rev. 1/20/15